

## Solid Waste Management Planning — PHASE II FEEDBACK – Local Governments

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Provide information regarding solid waste management to Tsartlip, Tsawout and Tseycum community members through WSÁNEĆ Leadership Council (WLC) portals	WSÁNEĆ Leadership Council	No	Revised (Action 1E)	Action 1E was revised to specify First Nations community groups as a stakeholder
Create a WLC/CRD negotiation table and related meeting schedule to continue conversations regarding Hartland Landfill	WSÁNEĆ Leadership Council	No	No	This recommendation is being brought to the CRD Board for focused consideration
Include a section regarding integrated resource management/gasification	Township of Esquimalt	Yes (Action 15D)	Revised (Action 15D)	Action 15D was revised to specify integrated resource management and gasification as alternatives to landfilling
Accelerate the creation of requirements for source separation in multi-family and commercial businesses, including through a model bylaw	City of Victoria	Yes (Action 6D, 8B, 9F)	N/A	These actions would enable the creation of regulations such as a model bylaw.
Accelerate the development of guidelines for the use/acceptance of compostable and bio-based food service ware	City of Victoria	Yes (Action 11D)	N/A	These actions will be considered for implementation in the short term action plan.
Establish a waste stream management licensing bylaw for private solid waste transfer stations and recycling facilities operating in the region	City of Victoria	Yes (Action 6C, 6D)	N/A	This will be investigated as part of these actions.
Work with local governments to advocate for flow control to regulate the export of solid waste	City of Victoria			
Prioritize implementing bans and/or surcharges for clean wood waste and mixed construction waste at Hartland	City of Victoria	Yes (12E/F)	N/A	These actions will be considered for implementation in the short term action plan.
Prioritize work with municipalities to develop requirements and guidelines for construction waste diversion, including measures to grow the regional market for salvaged materials	City of Victoria	Yes (12A/B/D)	N/A	These actions will be considered for implementation in the short term action plan.

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Lead regional collaboration and leverage municipal authority with regards to zero waste and building a circular economy	City of Victoria	Yes (5A)	N/A	
Provide bold leadership and accelerate regional collaboration on actions that achieve waste disposal targets	District of Saanich	Yes (Strategies 1-5)	N/A	This is the broad intent of the Solid Waste Management Plan.
Maximize the use of municipal authorities to reduce waste, including the development of additional bans, surcharges, CRD bylaws, model bylaws, processing facilities, requirements and guidelines that support actions such as waste stream management licensing, construction waste diversion, source separation in multi-family residences, use and acceptance of compostable items	District of Saanich	Yes (Strategies 5-12)	N/A	These will be investigated as part of these strategies.
Reference additional benefits of a regional organics processing facility associated with greenhouse gas emissions savings from reduced transportation outside the region	District of Saanich	Yes (Section 6)	Revised (Section 6)	Section 6 of the plan has been revised to reflect current on-island processing capacity, and to reference transportation-related greenhouse gas benefits associated with a facility at Hartland.

## Solid Waste Management Planning — PHASE II FEEDBACK – Community Associations

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Implement illegal dumping prevention education campaigns	Prospect Lake District Community Association	Yes (Action 13A/B)	N/A	
Implement illegal dumping reporting education campaigns	Prospect Lake District Community Association	Yes (Action 13E)	N/A	
Install 'No Dumping' signage in problem areas	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	This is the responsibility of the jurisdictional authority of the location.
Clean up illegal dumping quicker	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	This is part of the landfill operating plan and is reviewed regularly.
Open Hartland Landfill on Sundays so that residents don't dump illegally when they drive up and find the landfill closed	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	Details such as operating hours are part of the landfill operating plan and are reviewed regularly.
Increase bylaw enforcement of dumping and unsecured loads	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	Unsecured/uncovered loads arriving at Hartland are already subject to fines. Illegal dumping is regulated by municipalities and the CRD in Electoral Areas.
Build a transfer station in the West Shore	Prospect Lake District Community Association	Yes (Action 5B, 7B)	N/A	<i>Note: private facilities already exist in Langford and Sooke.</i>
Continue to enhance mountain biking trails in Mount Work Regional Park	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.7)	Revised this section to discuss actions related to mitigating trail loss.

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Secure Mountain Road Forest as parkland if the Habitat Acquisition Trust (HAT) fundraising campaign is unsuccessful; support of HAT's fundraising project in the interim	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	This project is a partnership between Regional Parks and HAT. HAT anticipates having sufficient funding however, staff will continue to monitor unmet need.
Overhaul the playground at Hamsterly Beach in Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park	Prospect Lake District Community Association	No	No	This Regional Parks project is underway, with construction set to start in fall 2021.
Do not remove trees to extend the use of Hartland Landfill to 2100 and beyond	Willis Point Community Association	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	Revised this section to clarify rationale for and potential impact and decision making process for Hartland 2100
Delay approval of the Hartland 2100 design concept	Willis Point Community Association	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	Revised this section to clarify rationale for and potential impact and decision making process for Hartland 2100
Adopt a more aggressive waste reduction target	Willis Point Community Association	Yes (Plan Goals)	N/A	An aspirational goal to reduce per capita waste volume to 125kg/year was included in the draft plan based on public feedback
Align the regional plan's targets with the City of Victoria's Zero Waste strategy	Willis Point Community Association	Yes (Plan Target)	N/A	The City of Victoria's Zero Waste strategy target is aligned with the CRD's target. With both following the same trajectory.
Consider Esquimalt's waste-to-energy plan in the Solid Waste Management Plan	Willis Point Community Association	No	Revised (Action 15D)	Revised action to clarify investigation of landfilling alternatives.
Do not landfill Class A biosolids	Willis Point Community Association	No	No	Management of biosolids is within the scope of the CRD's Liquid Waste Management Plan.

## Solid Waste Management Planning — PHASE II FEEDBACK – Public Feedback

*\*Orange shading indicates a comment that appeared 3+ times*

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
<b>STRATEGY 1: Continue and Enhance Education Programs</b>			
Educate people about reduce, reuse and recycle	Yes (Action 1A/E)	N/A	
Apply behavioural science to education programs	Yes (Action 1B)	N/A	
Make waste socially unacceptable by funding education campaigns promoting zero waste	Yes (1D)	Revised (1D)	Action revised to include specific reference to zero waste
<b>STRATEGY 2: Encourage Waste Prevention</b>			
Provide funding for start-up businesses aimed at reducing waste	Yes (2B)	N/A	
Ban single-use items	Yes (Action 2C/E, 5A)	N/A	The CRD will continue its advocacy and education role to support others that have authority to regulate in this area.
Ban/work with government to ensure manufacturers reduce non-recyclable packaging	Yes (Action 2F)	N/A	
Enforce mandatory fully compostable packaging	Yes (Action 2E/F)	N/A	
Legislate that manufacturers are responsible for the full life cycle of their products	Yes (Action 2E/F and 10A/C/D)	N/A	
Allow green bins to be used for yard waste as well as kitchen scraps	No	No	These items are banned from garbage disposal at Hartland. Organics drop-off and collection services are provided by the private sector and some municipalities. The CRD provides drop-off and transfer services for kitchen scraps and yard waste material at Hartland.
Fund drop-off points or curbside pick-up of organic materials, including yard waste	No	No	
Introduce tax breaks for people who recycle properly/do not put banned items in the garbage	No	No	Curbside recycling services are not funded by taxpayer requisition.
<b>STRATEGY 3: Support Reduction of Avoidable Food Waste</b>			
Encourage backyard composting	Yes (Action 3A)	Revised (Action 3A)	Action revised to include reference to backyard composting.
<b>STRATEGY 4: Support Reuse Activities in Region</b>			
Support organizations that create a sharing economy	Yes (Action 2B, 4B/C)	N/A	

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Encourage a circular economy through Repurpose and Repair Centers and Repair Cafes	Yes (Action 2B & 4C)	Revised (Action 4C)	Action revised to include reference to repair centres.
Explore ways to divert textile waste from the landfill, including building a processing facility	Yes (Action 4A, 4B)		Programs are in place to support existing textile collection and reuse industry in the region.
Support a book recycling program	Yes (Action 4A, 4B)	N/A	
Consider attaching a recycling education centre to the potential free store at Hartland Landfill	Yes (4D)	N/A	This option can be considered in the free store research project under Action 4D.
Consider attaching a build-it centre to the potential free store at Hartland Landfill	Yes (4D)	N/A	
Go beyond the free store at Harland and consider establishing a green business hub in the region	Yes (4D)	N/A	
Create a map of local resources for sharing, rental, reuse, and repair	Yes (4C)	N/A	The CRD's MyRecyclopedia (Section 4.2.7) provides this function.
<b>STRATEGY 5: Support Local Governments in Working Towards Zero Waste and Circular Economy</b>			
Require municipalities to incorporate zero waste plans as part of their community event permitting process	Yes (Action 5A, 13A)	N/A	Although the CRD cannot require municipalities to do this, the creation of a model policy for zero waste event planning could be included in this action.
Implement user-pay/pay by weight model for garbage collection	Yes (Action 5D)	N/A	The user-pay model for garbage collection services provided by the private sector and municipalities supports the intent of these ideas.
Hold specific municipalities accountable for massive increases in waste disposal (e.g. charge municipalities a fine per resident for exceeding waste per capita targets)	No	No	
Create a multi-disciplinary working group to develop the local circular economy	No	No	CRD staff participate in already established working groups focused in this area.
<b>STRATEGY 6: Continue and Enhance Policy Development</b>			
Implement a purchasing policy with other public organizations that encourages products made from reused, recycled or sustainably harvested materials and products that are durable, repairable, reusable, recyclable or compostable	Yes (Action 6A)	N/A	
Set reuse/refill targets across CRD buildings and invest in infrastructure for this	Yes (Action 6A)	N/A	These targets will be considered in the model procurement policies.

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Ensure municipal and private waste collectors fine banned materials and contaminated loads at the household level to enforce source separation	Yes (Action 6D, 9G)	N/A	Although the CRD doesn't have the authority to issue generator fines, landfill bans are enforced by the CRD when materials are delivered to the landfill.
Do not explore licensing for waste management facilities	No	No	Licensing programs provide a level playing field, support diversion activity and subsequently reduce garbage disposal. Review of this option is included in Action 6D.
STRATEGY 7: Increase Residential Diversion			
Build a transfer station in the West Shore	Yes (Action 5B, 7B)	N/A	<i>Note: private facilities already exist in Langford and Sooke.</i>
Fund return-it centres across the region	Yes (Action 2B, 7B)	N/A	The CRD has an advocacy role in this area.
Build processing plants to ensure more material is recycled	Yes (Action 7C)	N/A	The CRD will encourage local processing of recyclable material
STRATEGY 8: Increase Multi-Family Diversion			
Engage businesses in addition to building managers and strata across the region with waste prevention education	Yes (Action 8A, 9A)	N/A	Municipalities have the authority to regulate activities in multi-family buildings. Licensing programs identified for exploration in the plan have the potential to regulate this activity through the service provider.
Hold landlords, building managers and stratas accountable for organics diversion and glass recycling	Yes (Action 8B)		
Hold waste haulers accountable for organics diversion and glass recycling in multi-family residences	Yes (Action 6D, 8B)		
Enforce mandatory source separation for multi-family residences through fines	Yes (Action 8B)		
Find a solution for multi-family residents to recycle glass	Yes (Action 8B)		
Work with the Province on building code guidelines that ensure adequate space for waste sorting in new developments	Yes (Action 8C)	Revised (8C)	Revised action to note policy recommendations in this area
STRATEGY 9: Increase Industrial, Commercial and Institutional Diversion			
Prioritize 3R education funding for Industrial, Commercial and Institutional sector	Yes (1C, 9A)	N/A	
Give awards to companies who are waste prevention leaders	Yes (1F)	N/A	

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Enforce mandatory source separation for the Industrial, Commercial and Institutional sector through fines	Yes (9F, 9G)	N/A	Municipalities have the authority to regulate activities in multi-family buildings. Licensing programs identified for exploration in the plan have the potential to regulate this activity through the service provider.
STRATEGY 10: Support Existing and New Extended Producer Responsibility Programs			
Demand that Extended Producer Responsibility programs be expanded and fees be raised	Yes (10A/D)	N/A	Point-of-sale recycling fees are province-wide and established by the obligated producers and their stewardship agencies.
Advocate for the Right to Repair, mandatory warranties, time frames for parts availability, requirements for online manuals and plans	Yes (10 A/D)	N/A	The CRD can advocate for this when commenting on Extended Producer Responsibility program plan reviews.
Reduce the amount of plastic being landfilled, including microplastics	No	No	Production and distribution of plastic products and packaging are regulated by Federal and Provincial governments.
Fund curbside pick-up of recyclable materials not included in the current recycling program	No	No	Packaging and printed paper materials collected in curbside vs depot programs is determined by Recycle BC.
Fund curbside pick-up of soft plastics	No	No	
Enhance access to soft plastic recycling options, especially for rural communities	No	No	
STRATEGY 11: Increase Organics Diversion and Processing Capacity			
Do not build an organics processing facility at Hartland Landfill	No	No	Enabling language in the Solid Waste Management Plan (11B) is there to allow for exploration in this area.
Partner with local farmers to supply compost from organic processing	Yes (Action 11C)	N/A	
STRATEGY 12: Increase Construction, Renovation and Demolition Material Diversion			
Include a section in the plan for the recycling of fibreglass from marine debris	Yes (Action 12A)	N/A	Construction, Renovation & Demolition material pilots will consider the inclusion of types of structures, including boats
Ask the Province for a building code that incorporates future deconstruction needs and factors in embodied carbon and to create a deconstruction step code	Yes (12C/D)	N/A	The CRD has an advocacy role in this area.
Develop a program that encourages the renovation of buildings over demolition	Yes (Action 12 B/C/D)	N/A	

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Support building design and demolition regulations that require re-use/recycling	Yes (12D)	N/A	
Prioritize demolition permits for companies doing deconstruction/salvage work vs. straight disposal	No	No	Municipalities are responsible for building/demolition permits.
Consider attaching a build-it centre to the potential free store at Hartland Landfill	Yes (Section 4.2.6)	No	CRD support for existing organizations/facilities that encourage reuse of building materials is outlined in Section 4.2.6
Develop a construction and demolition materials hub at Hartland	Yes (Section 4.2.6)	No	
STRATEGY 13: Encourage Proper Public Space Waste Management Activities			
Support municipal big/bulky item pick-up day programs	Yes (Action 13F)	N/A	
Ensure all parks and recreational areas in the region have recycling bins	No	No	While the CRD has limited authority in this area, Strategy #13 broadly supports responsible waste management in public spaces
STRATEGY 14: Optimize Landfill Gas Management			
Develop a strategy to optimize landfill gas at Hartland that doesn't require input from new organic material	Yes (Strategies 2, 3, and 14)	N/A	The approach to landfill gas utilization was developed in alignment with Solid Waste Management Plan waste diversion targets and goals — including organic material diversion strategies.
STRATEGY 15: Enhance Hartland Disposal Capacity			
Implement/increase the CRD's fine system for banned materials	Yes (Action 15A)	N/A	
Increase tipping fees to incentivize waste prevention	No	Revised (Action 15A)	Revised action to capture regular review of tipping fee structure.
Incinerate garbage instead of landfilling it	Yes (Action 15D)	Revised (Action 15D)	Revised action to clarify investigation of landfilling alternatives.
Explore gasification options to create energy from solid waste	Yes (Action 15D)	Revised (Action 15D)	
Explore disposal options that integrate organic, solid and liquid waste (integrated resource management)	Yes (Action 15D)	Revised (Action 15D)	
Consider Esquimalt's waste-to-energy plan in the Solid Waste Management Plan	No	Revised (Action 15D)	
Report progress on exploring alternatives to landfilling to the CRD Board annually	Yes (Action 15D)	Revised (Action 15D)	Revised action to include a reporting component.
Mine Hartland Landfill for recyclable materials	Yes (15D)	No	Investigation of emerging technologies may include this activity in the future

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Include an action that addresses mountain bike trail loss due to the Hartland 2100 design	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.7)	Revised this section to discuss actions related to trail loss.
Do not design options to extend the use of Hartland Landfill to 2100 and beyond	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	Revised this section to clarify rationale for and potential impact and decision making process for Hartland 2100
Do not remove trees to extend the use of Hartland Landfill to 2100 and beyond	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	
Delay approval of the Hartland 2100 design concept	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	
Conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment before extending the life of Hartland Landfill	No	No	The CRD will adhere to all applicable regulatory requirements. Based on current provincial feedback, the Hartland 2100 design concept does not require an Environmental Impact Assessment.
Build a new landfill in the Western Communities	No	No	Siting and building a new landfill facility has a much higher environmental impact than using the existing landfill and transfer station infrastructure network as efficiently as possible.
Explore how the CRD will move past its reliance on tipping fees for funding, including potential future taxation	No	Maybe require adjusting wording in plan	Revenue received each year currently exceeds expenditures and the remaining funds are placed in a sustainability reserve for future needs when garbage volumes significantly decrease. Alternative funding methods will need to be explored as reserve fund depletes.
Do not accept cruise ship waste at Hartland Landfill	No	No	In Canada, solid waste from cruise ships is managed according to the International Waste Directive under the authority of the Canada Border Service Agency and the Canadian Food Inspection Agency. At Hartland, international waste is received at a higher rate than general refuse.
<b>FEEDBACK UNRELATED TO SPECIFIC STRATEGIES OR ACTIONS IN THE DRAFT PLAN</b>			
Include a regional greenhouse gas emissions calculation in the Solid Waste Management Plan	No	Yes	*Added language to talk about fugitive emissions/portion of regional greenhouse gases that solid waste management and/or the landfill contributes?

FEEDBACK	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Adopt a more aggressive waste reduction target (e.g. the Regional District of Nanaimo's plan)	Yes (Goal 1)	N/A	An aspirational goal to reduce per capita waste volume to 125kg/year was included in the draft plan based on public feedback
Align the regional plan's targets with the City of Victoria's Zero Waste strategy	Yes (plan targets)	N/A	The City of Victoria's Zero Waste strategy target is aligned with the CRD's target. With both following the same trajectory.
Include population growth considerations in the Solid Waste Management Plan	Yes	N/A	Population growth projections are included in Section 3.1.
Do not landfill Class A biosolids	No	No	Management of biosolids is within the scope of the CRD's Liquid Waste Management Plan.
Move commercial access to Hartland Landfill from Hartland Avenue to Willis Point Road	No	No	This is an operational decision and is not part of the formal plan.
Do not move commercial access to Hartland Landfill from Hartland Avenue to Willis Point Road	No	No	
Include a section in the plan on the management of closed contaminated sites (e.g. Blackburn Landfill on Salt Spring Island)	No	No	Closed landfills are not within this Solid Waste Management Plan based on advice from the Province.
Include specific plans to support a circular economy toward carbon neutrality	No	No	As identified by the Province, solid waste management plan's should be guided by the 5R hierarchy. In doing so, plans support reuse, recycling and, ultimately, a circular economy.
Include a section in the plan for the beneficial use of gravel extracted from Hartland Landfill	No	No	Use of aggregate at Hartland is addressed in the Operating Plan
Include an action to reduce wildlife/human conflict by educating the public about solid waste as a wildlife attractant	No	No	Educational information related to this issue exists on the CRD website
Ensure residents are aware of the region's per capita waste rate on an annual basis	Yes (Section 11)	N/A	
This plan should rigorously pursue the 5R waste hierarchy with top emphasis on reduction, reuse and recycling.	Yes	N/A	The strategies and actions in the plan have been designed to emphasize the first 3Rs of the pollution prevention hierarchy

## Solid Waste Management Planning — PHASE II FEEDBACK – Advocacy Groups

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
<b>Plan Direction</b>				
Adopt zero waste as a the goal and engage all sectors of society in pursuing this	Zero Waste BC	Yes (Guiding Principle 1)	Revised (Glossary)	A zero waste definition has been added to the plan
Adopt the zero waste hierarchy as a guide	Zero Waste BC	No	No	The existing pollution prevention hierarchy in the plan aligns with the Ministry guide.
Adopt stronger targets (similar to the Regional District of Nanaimo)	Zero Waste BC	Yes (Goal 3)	No	The Ministry requires regional districts set targets that are achievable, time-bound and demonstrate continuous improvement. The adjustment to the plan's goals provides opportunity to work towards 125kg/yr while implementing the actions identified in the plan over the 10-year time frame.
Amend the Solid Waste Management Plan and submit in 2025 with a target of 125kg/person/year by 2040.	Mount Work Coalition	No	No	
Gather information and add targets for reduced waste generation and waste diversion that at least match the C40 Zero Waste Declaration	Zero Waste BC	Yes (Goal 3)	No	The aspirational goal in the CRD plan exceeds that in the C40 plan. Numerous waste reduction actions have been incorporated into the plan.
<b>Reduce (Rethink/Reconsider)</b>				
Increase tipping fees to align with neighbouring regional districts, ensure kitchen scraps are at a lower fee than the waste and add a mixed waste fee at	Zero Waste BC	No	Revised (Action 15A)	Fees and fines associated with garbage disposal and kitchen scraps processing will be reviewed as part of the implementation of

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
double or more the regular waste tipping fee to encourage waste diversion				the plan's actions to maximize waste diversion.
Work to address fees on rimmed tires	Zero Waste BC	No	No	The Extended Producer Responsibility program in place in BC for tires excludes tires on rims. The fee associated with the drop off of rimmed tires at the Hartland depot limits quantities and directly reflects the cost of preparing them for management through the program.
Add a fee for unsecured loads	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Unsecured loads arriving at Hartland Landfill are already subject to a double charge.
Add an action to promote the local circular economy potential	Zero Waste BC	Yes	No	Many of the actions in the plan are intended to promote a circular economy. The grant money identified in Action 2B would be available to support local circular economy initiatives.
Develop a program for the CRD corporate entity to model zero waste actions	Zero Waste BC	Yes (5A,6A)	No	Could be considered as part of the corporate climate action plan.
Join the Canadian Collaboration for Sustainable Procurement	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Could be investigated with implementation of 6A.
Have the CRD lead by example	Zero Waste BC	Yes (5A,6A)	No	
Work with federal and provincial government on policies to reduce material throughput and waste.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (2E,2F,10A, 10D)	No	
Strengthen the plan's zero waste initiatives by adding concrete plans such as dedicated funding to create business incentives for entrepreneurs; create a public education campaign to draw awareness to Zero Waste, and use tipping fees to incentivize waste reduction instead of encouraging continued use of landfilling as a source of revenue	Mount Work Coalition	Yes (Action 1 A/B/D/E; 2B)	No	
<b>Reduce</b>				

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Developing common regional signage to assist in correct sorting of materials. This should be done in conjunction with member municipalities, businesses and Extended Producer Responsibility programs. An example of this has been done by the Squamish-Lillooet Regional District.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (1A,B,E,7A, 7D,8A,9A)	No	Standardized signage opportunities could be explored with implementation of the plan.
Banning materials before Extended Producer Responsibility programs exist. For example, Metro Vancouver have banned mattresses from their facilities and this ensures materials for the mattress recycling businesses that have arisen.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (5C, 15A)	No	This is a long-standing ongoing practice, landfill bans are implemented when viable alternatives are in place regardless of whether a product is regulated under EPR.
Working with service providers to provide bi-weekly service for curbside garbage collection and weekly service for organics pickup. This has proven to reduce waste in other regions.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	CRD does not have authority to regulate collector's frequency of services.
Targeting funding towards recycling materials that are not currently recycled by encouraging non-profit and private sector innovation such as in the Regional District of Nanaimo's plan.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (2B, 5C)	No	
Educate around and enforce the bans. Feedback at each step of the process (at collection, at transfer and at the landfill) is essential to help educate waste generators on how to reduce their waste. This will require partnership with other organizations that deliver these waste collection and management services. This could require friendly waste educators monitoring collection runs and cameras on waste trucks checking each tip.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (15A)	No	Approaches for executing actions associated with landfill ban education and enforcement will be further explored as plan implementation develops.
<b>Organics</b>				
Consider decentralized composting for high generation areas.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	See revised Section 6. Existing on island processing capacity to be

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Processing scaled to consider the reduction in volumes through reduced food waste generation and backyard composting activity	Zero Waste BC	No	No	utilized and the need for a facility at Hartland will be monitored.
Education and enforcement for bans.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (9G,11A, 15A)	No	
Identify and develop other end market.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (11C)	No	
<b>Hartland Capacity</b>				
Conduct regular waste audits and bi-annual waste composition studies. Work with Extended Producer Responsibility programs to have them pay for their share of waste composition studies. Make the data public. Make changes based on results.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	The next audit is scheduled for 2022. As in the past, Extended Producer Responsibility program stewards will be approached, study's results will be public and initiatives (actions) will be prioritized based on findings.
Understand and restrict waste flows outside of the region.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	While waste flow management is not a specified action in the plan, licensing and policy actions will provide an opportunity to explore opportunities to manage waste material within the region.
Delay any approval for landfill expansion until an updated and amended plan is adopted in 2025.	Mount Work Coalition	No	Revised (Section 4.2.2.1.6)	Revised this section to clarify rationale for and potential impact and decision making process for Hartland 2100
Delay work on landfill expansion and pursue Zero Waste actions instead.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Provincial legislation requires the CRD to provide disposal needs for the community into the future. While planning for the future is an ongoing item, the work to build out the remaining landfill area wouldn't begin until approximately 2030, a time when this plan's actions are scheduled to have been implemented.
Mandate clear bags for waste as soon as possible.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (5E)	No	Could be considered as a priority when developing a more detailed plan implementation schedule
Ensure the public is aware of the progress (or not to date) through publishing the	Zero Waste BC	Yes (Strategy 1)	No	Annual progress and plan monitoring reports are public

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
annual report along with advertising and creative means such as a waste thermometer at the landfill.				documents. New public engagement opportunities will be reviewed as part of implementation of the plan's actions.
Notify the Ministry of Environment & Climate Change Strategy that the CRD intends to submit an amendment to the plan by 2025 with strategies for attaining this target including an aggressive Zero Waste program, and an independent analysis and testing of alternative technologies such as integrated resource management/gasification/Waste to Energy.	Mount Work Coalition	No	Revised (Action 15D)	Revised action to clarify investigation of landfilling alternatives.
The amended plan by 2025 would be subject to full public consultation during its development to ensure the public has ample opportunity to engage in accordance with Ministry policy.	Mount Work Coalition	No	No	Any future plan amendments would be subject to full public consultation according to Ministry guidelines for solid waste management planning
The Plan submitted in 2021 should contain a placeholder for the Esquimalt waste-to-energy project, subject to a business case being completed.	Mount Work Coalition	Yes	Revised (Action 15D)	Revised action to clarify investigation of landfilling alternatives.
Conduct an independent environmental assessment prior to any plans to expand or alter the design of the landfill, including the spread of biosolids, to protect the natural ecosystem, wildlife, community health and the recreational users of the area.	Mount Work Coalition	No	No	The CRD will adhere to all applicable regulatory requirements. Based on current provincial feedback, the Hartland 2100 design concept does not require an Environmental Impact Assessment.
<b>Burning of Waste</b>				
Include clear language to prevent the use of destructive thermal technologies for managing waste.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Investigation of emerging technologies will be explored as they relate to the 5R hierarchy.
<b>Supporting Systems</b>				
Outline what the five-year plan review will include like the Regional District of Nanaimo plan.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Plan monitoring will be reported annually.

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
The climate strategy also notes many outreach programs and campaigns with other partners - the same needs to happen for the Solid Waste Management Plan.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	Roles and partnerships will be further explored through implementation of the plan's actions.
Value the remaining landfill space.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	There is no standardized methodology for valuing landfill space.
Increase funding and staff time to support this plan to ensure it can achieve higher targets.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	There is a \$5.8 million annual budget already in place to divert materials from disposal. An additional \$360,000 in new funding will also be added to the budget with the approval of the plan and resource requirements will be monitored and adjusted as plan implementation unfolds.
<b>Funding</b>				
Fund part of the solid waste system through property taxes and utility fees.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	As outlined in Section 9, the plan's investments including associated garbage reductions can be funded from existing sources. Future funding needs will continue to be monitored and will take into account new funding opportunities and reduced garbage volumes and associated revenue.
Raise tipping fees to match the Cowichan Valley Regional District rates.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	
Adjust funding sources as waste levels change.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	
Consider a levy and discount system similar to the Regional District of Nanaimo's.	Zero Waste BC	Yes (5C,6C,6D,12F)	No	
Ensure Extended Producer Responsibility programs pay their way.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	The CRD has a long history of developing waste diversion programs in advance of products being added to Extended Producer Responsibility. Transition to fully producer funded programs has historically lead to a reduction in service for the community.

FEEDBACK	STAKEHOLDER	IN DRAFT?	ADDED TO PLAN?	RATIONALE
Apply for grants and support other local partners applications as well.	Zero Waste BC	No	No	This is an ongoing item and there is further opportunity for this built into existing actions.
Ensure fines are applied after education measures and that they are sufficient to change behaviour.	Zero Waste BC	No	Yes (15A)	Education is conducted with warnings issued in advance of fines. Action 15A has been expanded to include a review of the Hartland tipping fee structure in addition to ban enforcement levels.
<b>OTHER FEEDBACK</b>				
Ensure protection of species at risk in Mount Work Park, we are requesting the BC Ministry of Environment & Climate Change Strategy reinstate its longstanding ban (2011 and 2013) on the spreading of biosolids, planned to begin in February 2021.	Mount Work Coalition	No	No	Management of biosolids is within the scope of the CRD's Liquid Waste Management Plan.
Delay the decision to reroute landfill traffic to Willis Point Road until decisions are made to have regional municipalities manage their own waste with Zero waste and new waste to energy technologies.	Mount Work Coalition	No	No	This is an operational decision and is not part of the formal plan.

Note:

- Zero Waste British Columbia's report included a significant number of suggestions for implementation of each action, review of feedback focused on the report's recommendations.