

## CRD GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell. **SAVE OFTEN** as a precautionary measure. Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to [CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst](#).

SECTION 1: APPLICANT AND GRANT INFORMATION				
CRD DEPT. PES	SERVICE NAME: CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO ENGAGE THEM IN YOUR PROPOSAL. Galloping Goose Regional Trail			SERVICE NO.:
WHAT SERVICE TYPE COULD BENEFIT? Regional	WHICH ELECTORAL AREA? Not Applicable	IF THE PROJECT IS IN AN ELECTORAL AREA, LIST THE COMMUNITY(IES) THAT WILL BENEFIT.		
GRANT PROGRAM NAME Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program - COVID-19 Resilience Infrastructure Stream			APPLICATION DUE (MM/DD/YYYY) 01/27/2021	
PROPOSED PROJECT TITLE Galloping Goose Bridge Replacements & Repair Project			PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure Assets - New or Renew	
DESCRIBE THE OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT: The Galloping Goose Regional Trail was established in 1987 and is a 55 km active transportation trail between Victoria and Sooke. It connects 7 communities including Sooke, Metchosin, Colwood, Langford, View Royal, Saanich and Victoria. The project includes the renewal of several key structures on the trail's network including bridge replacements at Bilsten Creek Bridges 1 & 2, deck repairs on Charters Creek Trestle, slope stabilization and retaining wall replacement at Interurban Bridge and pier stabilization at the Veitch Creek Bridge. This project meets two funding outcomes; it is an upgrade and repair to existing local government structures and is supports regional active transportation infrastructure.				
SECTION 2: ALIGNMENT AND IMPACT – Is it a Good Fit for the CRD?				
Grants can provide timely additional resources to advance organizational goals. The pursuit of a grant opportunity must, however, be carefully reviewed to check for potential impacts that can have unexpected and unintended consequences. Some grants are straightforward; others are more complex. The questions below help sort everything out for well-informed action.				
ALIGNMENT WITH A CRD BOARD PRIORITY? Community Wellbeing - Housing & Transportation	ALIGNMENT WITH A CORPORATE PRIORITY? Customer Service	ALIGNMENT WITH THE REGIONAL GROWTH STRATEGY? Increase transportation choice		
ALIGNMENT WITH THE SERVICE PLAN? Yes - for the current year	ALIGNMENT WITH THE CAPITAL PLAN? Yes-for current year	ALIGNMENT WITH THE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes		
IF THE PROJECT ALIGNS WITH A DEPARTMENTAL / DIVISIONAL STRATEGIC PLAN, PLEASE SPECIFY WHICH ONE: Planned asset renewal				
THE TIME-RELATED QUESTIONS BELOW ARE SEEKING APPROXIMATIONS. FOR AN EXPLANATION OF CONTEXT/METHODOLOGY, CLICK <a href="#">HERE</a> .				
HOW MANY HOURS, <u>APPROXIMATELY</u> , WILL PREPARING THE GRANT APPLICATION TAKE? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+		HOW MANY HOURS, <u>APPROXIMATELY</u> , WILL GRANT ADMINISTRATION TAKE IF AWARDED? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+		
GRANT REQUEST: \$ 1,000,000	CRD COSTS: ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE \$ 125,000	TOTAL PROJECT COST (EST.) \$ 1,125,000	MAIN SOURCE OF CRD FUNDING SHARE Capital Reserve Fund	EVIDENCE OF SECURED FUNDS Yes-funding evidence is available now
WHAT IMPACT WILL THE APPLICATION EFFORT HAVE ON THE YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: Applying is not disruptive to the Service's workplan		WHAT IMPACT WOULD RECEIVING THIS GRANT HAVE ON YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: This grant is not disruptive to the Service's workplan		
IF APPLYING FOR THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THE GRANT APPLICATION EFFORT. n/a				
IF BEING AWARDED THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION. n/a				
WHAT TYPE OF OBLIGATIONS WOULD GETTING THE GRANT IMPOSE ON THE CRD:	2. TYPICAL PLUS- Attending special meetings, conferences, various in-kind support, additional staff etc.			
IF THE CRD OBLIGATIONS ARE TYPE 2, DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SERVICE'S WORKPLAN OR ANY OTHER MEASURES NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THESE OBLIGATIONS. Capital project delivery requires internal engineering support with planning, implementation, control, execution and close-out.				
WHAT SPOILOVER BENEFITS, ABOVE AND BEYOND THE GRANT PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, COULD RESULT FROM THIS GRANT EFFORT? SEE INTANGIBLE BENEFIT EXPLANATION <a href="#">HERE</a> . <input type="checkbox"/> INNOVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARTNERSHIP/COLLABORATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT OF RURAL COMMUNITIES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MITIGATION OF A SEVERE RISK				

## CRD GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell. SAVE OFTEN as a precautionary measure. Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.

### SECTION 3: ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW: For projects that relate to new or renewed assets

This section is oriented to infrastructure assets and may not apply to a project relating to natural assets. To respond, type or choose 'Not applicable' as needed. Space is provided below for commentary related to natural assets and eco-system services.

FOR WHAT YEAR IS THE ASSET IN THE CAPITAL PLAN? 2020 & 2021	DOES THE ASSET HAVE AN ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes	WHAT IS THE ASSET'S REMAINING SERVICE LIFE? end of life
WHAT IS THE ASSET'S CONDITION RATING? Poor-Beyond service life major deficiencies		WHAT IS RISK OF ASSET FAILURE? Moderate

USE THIS SPACE, IF REQUIRED, TO ELABORATE ON ASSET MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS YOU THINK NEED TO BE HIGHLIGHTED (E.G.: COMMENTS RELATING TO NATURAL ASSETS)

As part of management of the asset, appropriate assessment reviews have been completed for the assets which have resulted in the current planned asset renewal work. The assets have reached the end of their intended service life HOWEVER are currently still in a usable condition.

### SECTION 4: THINK LIKE A FUNDER: What makes the project a great fit for the grant program?

DESCRIBE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT THAT MAKE IT A GREAT FIT TO ACHIEVE THE GRANT PROGRAM'S OBJECTIVES AND EVALUATION CRITERIA.

This project fits with the criteria of the funder:

- Supports active transportation and low carbon transportation
- Increases the safety and resiliency of the regional trail structures
- Promotes community well being by connecting communities
- Project meets time requirements - design will be 90% complete by time of application and construction will occur over Summer of 2021 and complete by December 31, 2021

### SECTION 5: ATTESTATION AND APPROVALS

In this section, the Project Lead attests to the accuracy of the information submitted in this form and forwards it for review and approval according to Departmental practices. The form makes room to customize approval processes to suit Divisional / Departmental needs. Completed forms are sent to the CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.

#### PROJECT LEAD ATTESTATION

- ☒ I CERTIFY THAT THIS PROPOSAL PROVIDES A TRUTHFUL AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT;
- ☒ I HAVE REVIEWED THE GRANT PROGRAM GUIDE CAREFULLY AND ATTEST THAT AN APPLICATION FOR THIS PROJECT CAN MEET ALL THE GRANT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS;
- ☒ I ATTEST THAT THE SERVICE CAN AMEND ITS WORKPLAN TO ALLOCATE THE RESOURCES NEEDED FOR OUTCOME ACHIEVEMENT WITHIN THE PROGRAM TIMELINE;
- ☒ I HAVE IDENTIFIED, IN CONSULTATION WITH MY MANAGER, ALL IMPACTS /OBLIGATIONS ENABLING SENIOR MANAGEMENT'S INFORMED CONSIDERATION OF THIS PROPOSAL.

NAME Ben Martin	TITLE Senior Project Engineer	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/21/2020
--------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------

#### MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT

NAME Mike MacIntyre	TITLE Manager Park Operations Services	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/21/2020
------------------------	---	---------------------------------

#### SENIOR MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT

NAME Mike MacIntyre	TITLE Acting Senior Manager CRD Regional Parks	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/21/2020
------------------------	---	---------------------------------

#### GENERAL MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT

NAME Larisa Hutcheson	TITLE General Manager, PES	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/21/2020
--------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFUL ATTENTION TO THIS ASSESSMENT PROCESS.

ONCE THE REQUIRED APPROVALS FOR THIS PROPOSAL ARE SECURED, THE PROJECT LEAD SENDS THE APPLICATION TO [CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst](#)