

CRD GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell. **SAVE OFTEN** as a precautionary measure. Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to [CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst](#).

SECTION 1: APPLICANT AND GRANT INFORMATION				
CRD DEPT. IWS	SERVICE NAME: CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO ENGAGE THEM IN YOUR PROPOSAL. Lyll Harbour Boot Cove Water Service			SERVICE NO.:
WHAT SERVICE TYPE COULD BENEFIT? Local	WHICH ELECTORAL AREA? SGI	IF THE PROJECT IS IN AN ELECTORAL AREA, LIST THE COMMUNITY(IES) THAT WILL BENEFIT. Lyll Harbour Boot Cove		
GRANT PROGRAM NAME ICIP - Rural and Northern Communities			APPLICATION DUE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/22/2020	
PROPOSED PROJECT TITLE Lyll Harbour Boot Cove Water System Upgrades			PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure Assets - New or Renew	
DESCRIBE THE OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT: The water system, including its dam, treatment, distribution and storage system will be upgraded to meet Provincial Dam Safety requirements and Island Health requirements.				
SECTION 2: ALIGNMENT AND IMPACT – Is it a Good Fit for the CRD?				
Grants can provide timely additional resources to advance organizational goals. The pursuit of a grant opportunity must, however, be carefully reviewed to check for potential impacts that can have unexpected and unintended consequences. Some grants are straightforward; others are more complex. The questions below help sort everything out for well-informed action.				
ALIGNMENT WITH A CRD BOARD PRIORITY? Not Applicable	ALIGNMENT WITH A CORPORATE PRIORITY? Customer Service	ALIGNMENT WITH THE REGIONAL GROWTH STRATEGY? Not Applicable to SSI SGI		
ALIGNMENT WITH THE SERVICE PLAN? Yes - for the current year	ALIGNMENT WITH THE CAPITAL PLAN? Yes-for current year	ALIGNMENT WITH THE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes		
IF THE PROJECT ALIGNS WITH A DEPARTMENTAL / DIVISIONAL STRATEGIC PLAN, PLEASE SPECIFY WHICH ONE:				
THE TIME-RELATED QUESTIONS BELOW ARE SEEKING APPROXIMATIONS. FOR AN EXPLANATION OF CONTEXT/METHODOLOGY, CLICK HERE .				
HOW MANY HOURS, <i>APPROXIMATELY</i> , WILL PREPARING THE GRANT APPLICATION TAKE? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+		HOW MANY HOURS, <i>APPROXIMATELY</i> , WILL GRANT ADMINISTRATION TAKE IF AWARDED? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+		
GRANT REQUEST: \$ 600,000	CRD COSTS: ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE \$ 50,000	TOTAL PROJECT COST (EST.) \$ 650,000	MAIN SOURCE OF CRD FUNDING SHARE Loan Authorization	EVIDENCE OF SECURED FUNDS Maybe-subject to referendum on borrowing
WHAT IMPACT WILL THE APPLICATION EFFORT HAVE ON THE YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: Applying is not disruptive to the Service's workplan		WHAT IMPACT WOULD RECEIVING THIS GRANT HAVE ON YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: This grant is not disruptive to the Service's workplan		
IF APPLYING FOR THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THE GRANT APPLICATION EFFORT.				
IF BEING AWARDED THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION.				
WHAT TYPE OF OBLIGATIONS WOULD GETTING THE GRANT IMPOSE ON THE CRD:	1. TYPICAL-Executing/amending agreement, claims, project reports			
IF THE CRD OBLIGATIONS ARE TYPE 2, DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SERVICE'S WORKPLAN OR ANY OTHER MEASURES NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THESE OBLIGATIONS.				
WHAT SPILLOVER BENEFITS, ABOVE AND BEYOND THE GRANT PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, COULD RESULT FROM THIS GRANT EFFORT? SEE INTANGIBLE BENEFIT EXPLANATION HERE .				
<input type="checkbox"/> INNOVATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PARTNERSHIP/COLLABORATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT OF RURAL COMMUNITIES	<input type="checkbox"/> MITIGATION OF A SEVERE RISK	

CRD GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell. SAVE OFTEN as a precautionary measure. Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.

SECTION 3: ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW: For projects that relate to new or renewed assets This section is oriented to infrastructure assets and may not apply to a project relating to natural assets. To respond, type or choose 'Not applicable' as needed. Space is provided below for commentary related to natural assets and eco-system services.		
FOR WHAT YEAR IS THE ASSET IN THE CAPITAL PLAN? 2022	DOES THE ASSET HAVE AN ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes	WHAT IS THE ASSET'S REMAINING SERVICE LIFE? New Asset
WHAT IS THE ASSET'S CONDITION RATING? Excellent - New Asset	WHAT IS RISK OF ASSET FAILURE? Not Applicable	
USE THIS SPACE, IF REQUIRED, TO ELABORATE ON ASSET MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS YOU THINK NEED TO BE HIGHLIGHTED (E.G.: COMMENTS RELATING TO NATURAL ASSETS)		
SECTION 4: THINK LIKE A FUNDER: What makes the project a great fit for the grant program?		
DESCRIBE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT THAT MAKE IT A GREAT FIT TO ACHIEVE THE GRANT PROGRAM'S OBJECTIVES AND EVALUATION CRITERIA. The project will maintain a potable water supply to a community whose water supply is at risk.		
SECTION 5: ATTESTATION AND APPROVALS In this section, the Project Lead attests to the accuracy of the information submitted in this form and forwards it for review and approval according to Departmental practices. The form makes room to customize approval processes to suit Divisional / Departmental needs. Completed forms are sent to the CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.		
PROJECT LEAD ATTESTATION		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I CERTIFY THAT THIS PROPOSAL PROVIDES A TRUTHFUL AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT;		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I HAVE REVIEWED THE GRANT PROGRAM GUIDE CAREFULLY AND ATTEST THAT AN APPLICATION FOR THIS PROJECT CAN MEET ALL THE GRANT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS;		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I ATTEST THAT THE SERVICE CAN AMEND ITS WORKPLAN TO ALLOCATE THE RESOURCES NEEDED FOR OUTCOME ACHIEVEMENT WITHIN THE PROGRAM TIMELINE;		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I HAVE IDENTIFIED, IN CONSULTATION WITH MY MANAGER, ALL IMPACTS /OBLIGATIONS ENABLING SENIOR MANAGEMENT'S INFORMED CONSIDERATION OF THIS PROPOSAL.		
NAME Ian Jesney	TITLE Sr. Mgr. Infrastructure Engineering	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 09/02/2020
MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME	TITLE	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
SENIOR MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME Ian Jesney	TITLE Sr. Mgr. Infrastructure Engineering	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 09/02/2020
GENERAL MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME	TITLE	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFUL ATTENTION TO THIS ASSESSMENT PROCESS.		
ONCE THE REQUIRED APPROVALS FOR THIS PROPOSAL ARE SECURED, THE PROJECT LEAD SENDS THE APPLICATION TO CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst		