

CRD GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell. **SAVE OFTEN** as a precautionary measure. Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to [CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst](#).

SECTION 1: APPLICANT AND GRANT INFORMATION				
CRD DEPT. SSI	SERVICE NAME: CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO ENGAGE THEM IN YOUR PROPOSAL. Maliview			SERVICE NO.: 3.82
WHAT SERVICE TYPE COULD BENEFIT? Local	WHICH ELECTORAL AREA? SSI	IF THE PROJECT IS IN AN ELECTORAL AREA, LIST THE COMMUNITY(IES) THAT WILL BENEFIT. Maliview Sewer Loca Area Service		
GRANT PROGRAM NAME Infrastructure Canada Rural and Northern Communities				APPLICATION DUE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/22/2020
PROPOSED PROJECT TITLE Maliview WWTP Upgrading Project			PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure Assets - New or Renew	
<p>DESCRIBE THE OUTCOME OF THE PROJECT:</p> <p>The Maliview WWTP has been experiencing challenges in consistently meeting regulatory requirements. The Maliview WWTP has received warning letters from both level of governments in 2019 due to effluent quality being non-compliant. The CRD is required to provide a multi-phased corrective action plan to enhance the Maliview facility performance and ensure regulatory compliance by 2022. Currently the Maliview WWTP is being studied and a design is currently underway to evaluate options to upgrade/replace existing facility and bring the plant back to compliance.</p> <p>The design and construction of the upgrade will ensure the plant effluent meeting regulatory requirements and significantly reduce risks to the environment.</p>				
SECTION 2: ALIGNMENT AND IMPACT – Is it a Good Fit for the CRD?				
<p>Grants can provide timely additional resources to advance organizational goals. The pursuit of a grant opportunity must, however, be carefully reviewed to check for potential impacts that can have unexpected and unintended consequences. Some grants are straightforward; others are more complex. The questions below help sort everything out for well-informed action.</p>				
ALIGNMENT WITH A CRD BOARD PRIORITY? Climate Action & Environmental Stewardship	ALIGNMENT WITH A CORPORATE PRIORITY? Business Capacity & Continuity	ALIGNMENT WITH THE REGIONAL GROWTH STRATEGY? Protect manage eco-system health		
ALIGNMENT WITH THE SERVICE PLAN? Yes - for year 2	ALIGNMENT WITH THE CAPITAL PLAN? Yes-for year 2	ALIGNMENT WITH THE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes		
IF THE PROJECT ALIGNS WITH A DEPARTMENTAL / DIVISIONAL STRATEGIC PLAN, PLEASE SPECIFY WHICH ONE:				
THE TIME-RELATED QUESTIONS BELOW ARE SEEKING APPROXIMATIONS. FOR AN EXPLANATION OF CONTEXT/METHODOLOGY, CLICK HERE .				
HOW MANY HOURS, <u>APPROXIMATELY</u> , WILL PREPARING THE GRANT APPLICATION TAKE? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+			HOW MANY HOURS, <u>APPROXIMATELY</u> , WILL GRANT ADMINISTRATION TAKE IF AWARDED? <input type="checkbox"/> 1-10 <input type="checkbox"/> 11-30 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 31-50 <input type="checkbox"/> 51-70 <input type="checkbox"/> 71-90 <input type="checkbox"/> 91-110 <input type="checkbox"/> 111-130 <input type="checkbox"/> 131-170 <input type="checkbox"/> 171+	
GRANT REQUEST: \$ 2,000,000	CRD COSTS: ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE \$ 230,000	TOTAL PROJECT COST (EST.) \$ 2,230,000	MAIN SOURCE OF CRD FUNDING SHARE Loan Authorization	EVIDENCE OF SECURED FUNDS Maybe-subject to referendum on borrowing
WHAT IMPACT WILL THE APPLICATION EFFORT HAVE ON THE YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: Applying is not disruptive to the Service's workplan			WHAT IMPACT WOULD RECEIVING THIS GRANT HAVE ON YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN? 1-Low: This grant is not disruptive to the Service's workplan	
IF APPLYING FOR THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THE GRANT APPLICATION EFFORT.				
IF BEING AWARDED THE GRANT HAS AN IMPACT RATING OF 2 OR 3, IDENTIFY YOUR SERVICE'S WORKPLAN ADJUSTMENTS NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION.				
WHAT TYPE OF OBLIGATIONS WOULD GETTING THE GRANT IMPOSE ON THE CRD:		1. TYPICAL-Executing/amending agreement, claims, project reports		
IF THE CRD OBLIGATIONS ARE TYPE 2, DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SERVICE'S WORKPLAN OR ANY OTHER MEASURES NEEDED TO ACCOMMODATE THESE OBLIGATIONS.				
WHAT SPILLOVER BENEFITS, ABOVE AND BEYOND THE GRANT PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, COULD RESULT FROM THIS GRANT EFFORT? SEE INTANGIBLE BENEFIT EXPLANATION HERE .				
<input type="checkbox"/> INNOVATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARTNERSHIP/COLLABORATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT OF RURAL COMMUNITIES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MITIGATION OF A SEVERE RISK	

CRDGRANTPROPOSALREVIEW

[Download this form and save it after filling in the first cell.](#) [SAVE OFTEN as a precautionary measure.](#) [Please email questions or suggestions for improvement to CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.](#)

SECTION 3: ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW: For projects that relate to new or renewed assets This section is oriented to infrastructure assets and may not apply to a project relating to natural assets. To respond, type or choose 'Not applicable' as needed. Space is provided below for commentary related to natural assets and eco-system services.		
FOR WHAT YEAR IS THE ASSET IN THE CAPITAL PLAN? 2021	DOES THE ASSET HAVE AN ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN? Yes	WHAT IS THE ASSET'S REMAINING SERVICE LIFE? 0-20
WHAT IS THE ASSET'S CONDITION RATING? Not Applicable	WHAT IS RISK OF ASSET FAILURE? High	
USE THIS SPACE, IF REQUIRED, TO ELABORATE ON ASSET MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS YOU THINK NEED TO BE HIGHLIGHTED (E.G.: COMMENTS RELATING TO NATURAL ASSETS) Collection system was mostly build in 1970s and have reached it's service life. A RBC was put in 2005 and its major components such as motor, bearing and shaft are due for repair/replacement in 1-5 yrs. Small building and underground piping and tanks likely have 10-20 yrs		
SECTION 4: THINK LIKE A FUNDER: What makes the project a great fit for the grant program?		
DESCRIBE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT THAT MAKE IT A GREAT FIT TO ACHIEVE THE GRANT PROGRAM'S OBJECTIVES AND EVALUATION CRITERIA. The outcome of the proposed construction program for Maliview WWTP aligns well with the funding programs objectives: Green Infrastructure – Environmental Quality Outcomes (EQ): Increased capacity to treat and/or manage wastewater and stormwater. The community of Maliview is comprised of only 101 properties and financially constrained. Both levels of governments have given the service warning letters in 2019 due to effluent quality being non-compliant.		
SECTION 5: ATTESTATION AND APPROVALS In this section, the Project Lead attests to the accuracy of the information submitted in this form and forwards it for review and approval according to Departmental practices. The form makes room to customize approval processes to suit Divisional / Departmental needs. Completed forms are sent to the CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst.		
PROJECT LEAD ATTESTATION		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I CERTIFY THAT THIS PROPOSAL PROVIDES A TRUTHFUL AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I HAVE REVIEWED THE GRANT PROGRAM GUIDE CAREFULLY AND ATTEST THAT AN APPLICATION FOR THIS PROJECT CAN MEET ALL THE GRANT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I ATTEST THAT THE SERVICE CAN AMEND ITS WORKPLAN TO ALLOCATE THE RESOURCES NEEDED FOR OUTCOME ACHIEVEMENT WITHIN THE PROGRAM TIMELINE; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I HAVE IDENTIFIED, IN CONSULTATION WITH MY MANAGER, ALL IMPACTS /OBLIGATIONS ENABLING SENIOR MANAGEMENT'S INFORMED CONSIDERATION OF THIS PROPOSAL.		
NAME Allen Xu	TITLE Manager of Engineering, SSI	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 08/04/2020
MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME Karla Campbell	TITLE Senior Manager SSI Electoral Area Administration	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 08/05/2020
SENIOR MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME	TITLE	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
GENERAL MANAGER AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT		
NAME	TITLE	DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND THOUGHTFUL ATTENTION TO THIS ASSESSMENT PROCESS. ONCE THE REQUIRED APPROVALS FOR THIS PROPOSAL ARE SECURED, THE PROJECT LEAD SENDS THE APPLICATION TO CRD Corporate Asset and Grant Management Analyst		