# **APPENDIX A**

# Integrated Resource Management Advisory Committee Project Criteria Feedback – December 2017

### Question

• How important is it that the IRM solution extends life of Hartland Landfill?

### Question

• How important is it that the IRM solution improves environmental performance (GHG emissions etc.)?

# Question

• Will the CRD provide a site at Hartland?

## Question

• If not at Hartland, who would be responsible for siting process?

#### Question

- What material streams will the CRD guarantee?
  - CRD controlled feedstock (Biosolids)
  - Local government controlled feedstock (30% of Kitchen Scraps, Leaf and Yard, 15% of Garbage)

#### Question

• Would the CRD be willing to solicit other feedstock?

### Question

Would the CRD want the IRM respondents to solicit other feedstock?

## Question

• Who will be responsible for marketing recovered materials and risk?

#### Question

• Who will be responsible for energy offtake agreements and risk?

#### Question

• Should the CRD ban on land application of Biosolids apply to any beneficial use product from IRM using biosolids feedstock, this is used in some form on 'land' (i.e. biochar, aggregate)

High			Med	Low		
13				0	0	
High				Med	Low	
12				0	1	
Yes				No		
13				0		
CRD			Privat	Private Sector		
7				6		
Yes				No		
9				3		
Yes				No		
9				3		
Yes				<b>No</b> 5		
7				5		
Yes				No		
7				5		
<u></u>			Deensuda			
CRD 4		Respondent	9			
<b>T</b>						
CRD	Re	espond	lents	Und	ecided	
3		6			2	
Yes	No		lind	lecided		
3	1 6					